



Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11)

**EP 0 757 027 A1**

**EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(12)

(43) Date of publication:  
05.02.1997 Bulletin 1997/06

(51) Int Cl.<sup>6</sup>: C07C 67/04, C07C 69/14

(21) Application number: 96305369.9

(22) Date of filing: 22.07.1996

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
BE DE FR GB NL SE

(30) Priority: 02.08.1995 GB 9515813  
22.02.1996 GB 9603770  
25.06.1996 GB 9613227

(71) Applicant: BP Chemicals Limited  
London EC2M 7BA (GB)

(72) Inventors:  
• Atkins, Martin Philip, BP Chemicals Ltd.  
Sunbury-on-Thames, Middlesex, TW16 7LN (GB)

• Sharma, Bhushan, BP Chemicals Ltd.  
Sunbury-on-Thames, Middlesex, TW16 7LN (GB)

(74) Representative:  
Krishnan, Suryanarayana Kalyana et al  
BP INTERNATIONAL LIMITED  
Patents & Agreements Division  
Chertsey Road  
Sunbury-on-Thames Middlesex TW16 7LN (GB)

(54) **Ester synthesis**

(57) This invention relates to a process for the production of lower aliphatic esters by reacting a lower olefin with a saturated lower aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid in the vapour phase in the presence of a heteropolyacid catalyst characterised in that an amount of water in the range from 1-10 mole % based on the total of the olefin, aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid and water is added to the

reaction mixture during the reaction. The presence of water enhances the yield of the desired ester. The reaction mixture may optionally contain a di-ether to minimise the by-products.

The process is particularly suitable for producing ethyl acetate from ethylene and acetic acid in the presence of water.

**EP 0 757 027 A1**

## Description

The present invention relates to a process for the synthesis of esters by reacting an olefin with a lower carboxylic acid in the presence of an acidic catalyst.

It is well known that olefins can be reacted with lower aliphatic carboxylic acids to form the corresponding esters. One such method is described in GB-A-1259390 in which an ethylenically unsaturated compound is contacted with a liquid medium comprising a carboxylic acid and a free heteropolyacid of molybdenum or tungsten. This process is a homogeneous process in which the heteropolyacid catalyst is unsupported. A further process for producing esters is described in JP-A-05294894 in which a lower fatty acid is esterified with a lower olefin to form a lower fatty acid ester. In this document, the esterification reaction is carried out in the gaseous phase in the presence of a catalyst consisting of at least one heteropolyacid salt of a metal eg Li, Cu, Mg or K, being supported on a carrier. The heteropolyacid used is phosphotungstic acid and the carrier described is silica.

It has now been found that the process efficiency can be improved significantly by co-feeding water to the reaction mixture.

Accordingly, the present invention is a process for the production of lower aliphatic esters said process comprising reacting a lower olefin with a saturated lower aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid in the vapour phase in the presence of a heteropolyacid catalyst characterised in that an amount of water in the range from 1-10 mole % based on the total of the olefin, aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid and water is added to the reaction mixture during the reaction.

A feature of the invention is the addition of water as a component of the reaction mixture. Surprisingly, it has been found that the presence of water in the reaction mixture in an amount of 1-10 mole %, preferably from 1 to 7 mole %, eg 1 to 5 mole %, based on the total feed enhances the stability of the catalyst and thereby enhances the efficiency of the process. Furthermore, the presence of water also reduces the selectivity of the process to undesired by-products such as eg oligomers and other unknowns, excluding diethyl ether and ethanol.

It has further been found that dosing the reaction mixture with amounts of di-ether such as eg diethyl ether, as a co-feed also reduces the formation of undesirable by-products. The amount of di-ether co-fed is suitably in the range from 1 to 6 mole %, preferably in the range from 1 to 3 mole % based on the total reaction mixture comprising the olefin, the aliphatic carboxylic acid, water and diethyl ether. The di-ether co-fed may correspond to the by product di-ether from the reaction generated from the reactant olefin. Where a mixture of olefins is used, eg a mixture of ethylene and propylene, the di-ether may in turn be an unsymmetrical di-ether. The di-ether co-feed may thus be the by-product of the reaction which by-product is recycled to the reaction mixture.

The term "heteropolyacids" as used herein and throughout the specification is meant to include the free acids. The heteropolyacids used to prepare the esterification catalysts of the present invention therefore include *inter alia* the free acids and coordination type salts thereof in which the anion is a complex, high molecular weight entity. Typically, the anion comprises 2-18 oxygen-linked polyvalent metal atoms, which are called peripheral atoms. These peripheral atoms surround one or more central atoms in a symmetrical manner. The peripheral atoms are usually one or more of molybdenum, tungsten, vanadium, niobium, tantalum and other metals. The central atoms are usually silicon or phosphorus but can comprise any one of a large variety of atoms from Groups I-VIII in the Periodic Table of elements. These include, for instance, cupric ions; divalent beryllium, zinc, cobalt or nickel ions; trivalent boron, aluminium, gallium, iron, cerium, arsenic, antimony, phosphorus, bismuth, chromium or rhodium ions; tetravalent silicon, germanium, tin, titanium, zirconium, vanadium, sulphur, tellurium, manganese nickel, platinum, thorium, hafnium, cerium ions and other rare earth ions; pentavalent phosphorus, arsenic, vanadium, antimony ions; hexavalent tellurium ions; and heptavalent iodine ions. Such heteropolyacids are also known as "polyoxoanions", "polyoxometallates" or "metal oxide clusters". The structures of some of the well known anions are named after the original researchers in this field and are known eg as Keggin, Wells-Dawson and Anderson-Evans-Perloff structures.

Heteropolyacids usually have a high molecular weight eg in the range from 700-8500 and include dimeric complexes. They have a relatively high solubility in polar solvents such as water or other oxygenated solvents, especially if they are free acids and in the case of several salts, and their solubility can be controlled by choosing the appropriate counter-ions. Specific examples of heteropolyacids that may be used as the catalysts in the present invention include:

12-tungstophosphoric acid	- $H_3[PW_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$
12-molybdophosphoric acid	- $H_3[PMo_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$
12-tungstosilicic acid	- $H_4[SiW_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$
12-molybdosilicic acid	- $H_4[SiMo_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$
Potassium tungstophosphate	- $K_6[P_2W_{18}O_{62}] \cdot xH_2O$
Sodium molybdophosphate	- $Na_3[PMo_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$
Ammonium molybdodiphosphate	- $(NH_4)_6[P_2Mo_{18}O_{62}] \cdot xH_2O$

(continued)

Sodium tungstonickelate	- $\text{Na}_4[\text{NiW}_6\text{O}_{24}\text{H}_6] \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$
Ammonium molybdodicobaltate	- $(\text{NH}_4)[\text{Co}_2\text{Mo}_{10}\text{O}_{36}] \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$
Cesium hydrogen tungstosilicate	- $\text{Cs}_3\text{H}[\text{SiW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}] \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$
Potassium molybdodivanado phosphate	- $\text{K}_5[\text{PMoV}_2\text{O}_{40}] \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$

The heteropolyacid catalyst whether used as a free acid or as a salt thereof is suitably supported, preferably on a siliceous support. The siliceous support is suitably in the form of extrudates or pellets.

The siliceous support used is most preferably derived from an amorphous, non-porous synthetic silica especially fumed silica, such as those produced by flame hydrolysis of  $\text{SiCl}_4$ . Specific examples of such siliceous supports include Support 350 made by pelletisation of AEROSIL® 200 (both ex Degussa). This pelletisation procedure is suitably carried out by the process described in US Patent 5,086,031 (see especially the Examples) and is incorporated herein by reference. Such a process of pelletisation or extrusion does not involve any steam treatment steps and the porosity of the support is derived from the interstices formed during the pelletisation or extrusion step of the non-porous silica. The silica support is suitably in the form of pellets or beads or are globular in shape having an average particle diameter of 2 to 10 mm, preferably 4 to 6 mm. The siliceous support suitably has a pore volume in the range from 0.3-1.2 ml/g, preferably from 0.6-1.0 ml/g. The support suitably has a crush strength of at least 2 Kg force, suitably at least 5 Kg force, preferably at least 6 Kg and more preferably at least 7 Kg. The crush strengths quoted are based on average of that determined for each set of 50 beads/globules on a CHATTILLON tester which measures the minimum force necessary to crush a particle between parallel plates. The bulk density of the support is suitably at least 380 g/l, preferably at least 440 g/l.

The support suitably has an average pore radius (prior to use) of 10 to 500 Å preferably an average pore radius of 30 to 100 Å.

In order to achieve optimum performance, the siliceous support is suitably free of extraneous metals or elements which might adversely affect the catalytic activity of the system. The siliceous support suitably has at least 99% w/w purity, ie the impurities are less than 1% w/w, preferably less than 0.60% w/w and more preferably less than 0.30% w/w.

Other pelleted silica supports are the Grace 57 and 1371 grades of silica. In particular, Grace silica No. 1371 has an average bulk density of about 0.39 g/ml, an average pore volume of about 1.15 ml/g and an average particle size ranging from about 0.1-3.5 mm. These pellets can be used as such or after crushing to an average particle size in the range from 0.5-2 mm and sieving before being used as the support for the heteropolyacid catalyst.

The impregnated support is suitably prepared by dissolving the heteropolyacid, which is preferably a tungstosilicic acid, in eg distilled water, and then adding the support to the aqueous solution so formed. The support is suitably left to soak in the acid solution for a duration of several hours, with periodic manual stirring, after which time it is suitably filtered using a Buchner funnel in order to remove any excess acid.

The wet catalyst thus formed is then suitably placed in an oven at elevated temperature for several hours to dry, after which time it is allowed to cool to ambient temperature in a desiccator. The weight of the catalyst on drying, the weight of the support used and the weight of the acid on support was obtained by deducting the latter from the former from which the catalyst loading in g/litre was determined.

Alternatively, the support may be impregnated with the catalyst using the incipient wetness technique with simultaneous drying on a rotary evaporator.

This supported catalyst (measured by weight) can then be used in the esterification process. The amount of heteropolyacid deposited/impregnated on the support for use in the esterification reaction is suitably in the range from 10 to 60% by weight, preferably from 30 to 50% by weight based on the total weight of the heteropolyacid and the support.

In the esterification reaction, the olefin reactant used is suitably ethylene, propylene or mixtures thereof. Where a mixture of olefins is used, the resultant product will inevitably be a mixture of esters. The source of the olefin reactant used may be a refinery product or a chemical grade olefin which invariably contain some alkanes admixed therewith.

The saturated, lower aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid reactant is suitably a C1-C4 carboxylic acid and is preferably acetic acid.

The reaction mixture suitably comprises a molar excess of the olefin reactant with respect to the aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid reactant. Thus the mole ratio of olefin to the lower carboxylic acid in the reaction mixture is suitably in the range from 1:1 to 15:1, preferably from 10:1 to 14:1.

The reaction is carried out in the vapour phase suitably above the dew point of the reactor contents comprising the reactant acid, any alcohol formed *in situ*, the product ester and water as stated above. The amount of water is in the range from 1-10 mole %, suitably from 1-7 mole %, preferably from 1-5 mole % based on the total amount of olefin, carboxylic acid and water. Dew point is the temperature at which condensation of a vapour of a given sample in air takes place. The dew point of any vaporous sample will depend upon its composition. The supported heteropolyacid

catalyst is suitably used as a fixed bed which may be in the form of a packed column. The vapours of the reactant olefins and acids are passed over the catalyst suitably at a GHSV in the range from 100 to 5000 per hour, preferably from 300 to 2000 per hour.

The esterification reaction is suitably carried out at a temperature in the range from 150-200°C using a reaction pressure which is at least 400 KPa, preferably from 500-3000 Kpa depending upon the relative mole ratios of olefin to acid reactant and the amount of water used.

The water added to the reaction mixture is suitably present in the form of steam and is capable of generating a mixture of esters and alcohols in the process. The products of the reaction are recovered by eg fractional distillation. Where esters are produced, whether singly or as mixture of esters, these may be hydrolysed to the corresponding alcohols or mixture of alcohols in relatively high yields and purity. By using this latter technique the efficiency of the process to produce alcohols from olefins is significantly improved over the conventional process of producing alcohols by hydration of olefins.

The present invention is further illustrated with reference to the following Examples and Comparative Tests.

## EXAMPLES:

In all the Examples, the reaction conditions used and the results achieved are tabulated below. In these tables, the following abbreviations have been used:

HOS	Hours on stream
Bed (T/M/B)	Bed (top/middle/bottom)
HAC	Acetic Acid
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ethylene
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water
EtAc	Ethyl acetate
EtOH	Ethanol
DEE	Diethyl ether
GHSV	Gas hourly space velocity
g/Lcat/h	Gram per litre of catalyst per hour
STP	Standard temperature & pressure
STY	Space time yield

### Example 1:

#### A. Catalyst Preparations:

##### Catalyst 1:

Silica granules (Grace 1371 grade, 530 m<sup>2</sup>/g, bulk density 0.39 g/ml, pore volume 1.15 ml/g, ca. 1-3 mm, 70 g, ex W R Grace) were soaked over 24 hours with intermittent stirring in a solution of silicotungstic acid [H<sub>4</sub>SiW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>.26H<sub>2</sub>O] (65.53 g, ex Japan New Metals) dissolved in 250 ml distilled water in order to impregnate the silica support with the silicophosphoric acid catalyst. After this duration, excess catalyst solution was decanted and filtered off. The resultant catalyst impregnated support was then dried in flowing nitrogen gas overnight at 120°C. The supported catalyst so formed was then left in a desiccator to cool and was finally reweighed. The resultant supported catalyst had a heteropolyacid catalyst loading of 92 g/litre.

Catalyst 2: Silica granules (Grace 57 grade, surface area 510 m<sup>2</sup>/g, bulk density 0.649 g/ml, pore volume 1.0267 ml/g, ca. 5-8 mm, 57.7 g, ex W R Grace) was soaked in a solution of 12-tungstosilicic acid [H<sub>4</sub>SiW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>.26H<sub>2</sub>O] (ex Johnson Matthey, 69.4 g dissolved in 200 ml distilled water) for 24 hours with intermittent stirring in order to impregnate the support with the catalyst. Thereafter the excess solution of the silicotungstic acid catalyst was removed by decantation and filtration. The resultant catalyst impregnated support was then dried overnight under flowing nitrogen at 120°C. The dried supported catalyst so formed was cooled in a desiccator and had a heteropolyacid catalyst loading of 190g/litre.

Catalyst 3: The above process of Catalyst 2 was repeated and was found to have a heteropolyacid catalyst loading of 192 g/litre.

B. Catalyst Forming:

All the catalysts produced above were broken down and sieved to obtain the desired pellet size for loading into the esterification reactor.

C. Catalyst Testing:

The reactor used was a three-zone Severn Sciences reactor constructed of Hastelloy C-276 capable of withstanding glacial acetic acid up to 300°C and 15000 Kpa (150 barg) pressure (length 650 mm, outer diameter 22 mm, internal diameter 16 mm). It had a thermowell running the entire reactor length (5 mm outer diameter) and 1.77 cm outer diameter Swagelock VCR joints at each end. Gas from cylinders of ethylene and nitrogen were taken off at 1000 Kpa (10 barg) and then compressed to 5000-12000 Kpa (50-120 barg), via Haskel boosters, before being regulated and fed to mass-flow controllers. The liquid feed systems had 2 dm<sup>3</sup> reservoirs maintained under a nitrogen blanket of 10 KPa-80 KPa (0.1-0.8 barg).

A cooling jacket was provided to condense products in the gas stream back to liquids prior to collection in a receiver. The majority of the liquid product was collected at room temperature.

A pre-heating zone was located upstream of the catalyst bed. The pre-heating zone was separated from the catalyst bed by a plug of glass wool. Another plug of gas wool was used downstream of the catalyst bed to reduce dead volume and help maintain the catalyst bed in the centre section of the reactor.

The reaction was started up by pressurising the reactor to 1000 KPa (10 barg) with nitrogen, establishing the desired flow rate (which is the same as that used later for the olefin feed) and then increasing the reactor temperature to the desired operating conditions (170°C or 180°C) over a one hour period. The liquid pump for the mixture of acetic acid/water mixture was switched on initially at the desired flow rate and the olefin admitted into the reactor on liquid breakthrough at the collection pots, usually after 2 to 3 hours. The flows were then adjusted to give the desired feed molar ratios and GHSVs. The reactor effluent was collected at regular intervals. Liquid product was drained off, weighed and then analysed by GC. The gas stream was sampled downstream of the liquid collection points and also analysed by GC. Total gas out during a test period was measured using a wet-gas meter.

The above process/catalysts were used to esterify ethylene with acetic acid. The relative amounts of each of the Catalysts 1 to 3 used, their bed size and bed length in performing the esterification reaction were as follows:

Parameter	Catalyst 1	Catalyst 2	Catalyst 3
Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	25	25	25
Weight (g)	11.3 (11.4*)	12	12
Pellet size (mm)	1-2 (0.5-1*)	0.5-1	0.5-1
Bed length	8.75 (14*)	14	14

\* Parameters of Catalyst 1 used for the Runs in Table 2

**TABLE 1**

Run Conditions: (1 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 1:

Parameters	Run No. 1	Run No. 2	Run No. 3*
HOS	17-20	42-45	70-73 (21-24)
Temperature (°C)	170	170	170
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	173/-/170	172.5/-/169.5	170/-/168
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	974
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	69
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	185
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	0
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.9/7.2/0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	85.9/13.5/0.6	85.9/13.5/0.6	85.9/14.1/0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.1

\* - No added water used in this comparative test (not according to the invention)

Product Analysis (Table 1 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 1	Run No. 2	Run No. 3*
HAC Conversion	66	64	27
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	97.3	97.8	91.6
EtOH	0.4	0.3	0.1
DEE	1.8	1.3	0.0
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.3	0.6	1.4
Others	0.1	0.04	6.8
EtAc Yield	95	59	25
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	180	160	44
Carbon Balance (mol %)	104	105	100
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	95	102	81
Mass Balance	103	105	99
Water recovered (%)	69	73	-

\* No water used and is hence a comparative test (not according to the invention)

**TABLE 2****Run Conditions: (1 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 1:**

Parameters	Run No. 4	Run No. 5	Run No. 6	Run No. 7
HOS	19-22	44-77	68.75-71.75	91-94
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	181.5/186/182.5	181.5/185/183	181.3/184.5/181.2	181.4/184/181.1
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	66	66
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	177	177
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7

**Product Analysis (Table 2 continued):**

Products/Analysis	Run No. 4	Run No. 5	Run No. 6	Run No. 7
Ethylene conversion (%)	5.3	3.9	4.1	6.0
HAC Conversion	71	64	60	54
Product Selectivity (wt %)				
EtAc	95.9	97.2	97.8	98.1
EtOH	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6
DEE	2.3	1.8	1.3	1.0
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.2
Others	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0
EtAc Yield	68	63	59	53
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	194	183	164	148
Carbon Balance (mol %)	100	199	100	97
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	94	89	93	88
Mass Balance	100	99	99	96
Water recovered (%)	53	56	62	65

**TABLE 3****Run Conditions: (1 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 2:**

Parameters	Run No. 8	Run No. 9	Run No. 10
HOS	14.75-17.5	38.5-41.5	62.5-65.5
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	179.5/189/182.8	179.6/187.5/182.9	179.4/186.5/182.8
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	981	981	981
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	66
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	10	10	10
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	177
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	85.9/13.5/0.6	85.9/13.5/0.6	85.9/13.5/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.7

**Product Analysis (Table 3 continued):**

Products/Analysis	Run No. 8	Run No. 9	Run No. 10
Ethylene Conversion	7.3	3.9	3.3
HAC Conversion	81	77	75
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	92.7	95.2	96.0
EtOH	0.8	0.7	0.7
DEE	3.8	2.8	2.2
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	1.6	0.9	0.8
Others	1.1	0.5	0.3
EtAc Yield	75	73	72
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	213	211	201
Carbon Balance (mol %)	100	102	103
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	99	96	98
Mass Balance	100	102	103
Water recovered (%)	45	43	51



**TABLE 4**Run Conditions: (5 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 2:

Parameters	Run No. 11	Run No. 12	Run No. 13
HOS	90.5-95.5	134.5-137.5	158.5-161.5
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	179.3/188.5/182.4	179.6/188.5/183.4	179.5/188.5/183.4
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	975	975	975
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	866	866	866
HAC GHSV/h	61	61	61
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	48	48	48
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1083	1083	1083
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	164	164	164
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	38	38	38
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	88.8/6.3/4.9	88.8/6.3/4.9	88.8/6.3/4.9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	84.2/12.8/3	84.2/12.8/3	84.2/12.8/3
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	14.2	14.2	14.2

Product Analysis (Table 4 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 11	Run No. 12	Run No. 13
Ethylene Conversion	4.4	4.0	4.3
HAC Conversion	68	72	72
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	85.2	82.9	82.0
EtOH	3.7	3.7	3.9
DEE	10.5	13.0	13.6
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.6	0.4	0.5
Others	0.01	0.02	0.07
EtAc Yield	58	60	59
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	203	215	210
Carbon Balance (mol %)	102	103	103
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	87	87	89
Mass Balance	101	102	102
Water recovered (%)	43	39	42

**TABLE 5**

Run Conditions: (5 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 3:

Parameters	Run No. 14	Run No. 15	Run No. 16
HOS	16-19	40-43	112.5-115.5
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	179.1/191/183.2	179.1/190.5/183.5	179.2/190/183.8
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	866	866	866
HAC GHSV/h	64	64	64
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	50	50	50
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1083	1083	1083
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	170	170	170
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	40	40	40
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	88.4/6.5/5.1	88.4/6.5/5.1	92.3/6.7/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	83.7/13.2/3.1	83.7/13.2/3.1	86/13.4/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.6	13.6	13.6

Product Analysis (Table 5 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 14	Run No. 15	Run No. 16
Ethylene Conversion	6.6	7.6	6.3
HAC Conversion	86	85	83
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	73.4	74.0	73.2
EtOH	3.7	3.7	3.5
DEE	22.0	21.5	22.9
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.6	0.7	0.5
Others	0.2	0.1	0.0
EtAc Yield	63	63	60
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	219	221	224
Carbon Balance (mol %)	106	104	105
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	103	101	95
Mass Balance	105	104	104
Water recovered (%)	40	40	36

**TABLE 6****Run Conditions: (5 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 3:**

Parameters	Run No. 17	Run No. 18	Run No. 19
HOS	160.5-163.5	208.5-211.5	285.5-288.5
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	179.2/190/184.1	179.3/190/184.3	179.3/190/184.2
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	866	866	866
HAC GHSV/h	64	64	64
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	50	50	50
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1083	1083	1083
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	170	170	170
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	40	40	40
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	88.4/6.5/5.1	88.4/6.5/5.1	88.4/6.5/5.1
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	83.7/13.2/3.1	83.7/13.2/3.1	83.7/13.2/3.1
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.6	13.6	13.6

**Product Analysis (Table 6 continued):**

Products/Analysis	Run No. 17	Run No. 18	Run No. 19
Ethylene Conversion	8.8	8.7	8.4
HAC Conversion	85	86	88
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	73.2	73.0	73.7
EtOH	3.6	3.9	3.7
DEE	22.6	22.6	22.1
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.5	0.5	0.5
Others	0.1	0.1	0.1
EtAc Yield	62	63	65
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	217	217	225
Carbon Balance (mol %)	104	104	104
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	103	103	103
Mass Balance	103	104	104
Water recovered (%)	40	41	38

**TABLE 7****Run Conditions: (5 Mole % Water added to feed) using Catalyst 3:**

Parameters	Run No. 20	Run No. 21*	Run No. 22*
HOS	375.5-378.5	448.5-451.5	472.5-475.5
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	179.3/190/184.4	179.3/186.5/183.4	179.3/186.5/183.4
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	1001	1001
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	866	866	866
HAC GHSV/h	64	64	64
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	50	50	50
DEE GHSV/h	0	21	21
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1083	1083	1083
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	170	170	170
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	40	40	40
DEE (g/Lcat/h)	0	71	71
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	3.7	3.6	3.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O/DEE mole % ratio	88.4/6.5/5.1§	86.5/6.4/5/2.1	86.5/6.4/5/2.1
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O/DEE wt % ratio	83.7/13.2/3.1§	79.4/12.5/2.9/5.2	79.4/12.5/2.9/5.2
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.6	13.6	13.6

\* co-fed additionally with 2 Mole % DEE.

§ - No diethyl ether used in this Run

**Product Analysis (Table 7 continued):**

Products/Analysis	Run No. 20	Run No. 21	Run No. 22
Ethylene Conversion	8.7	2.7	2.4
HAC Conversion	85	81	80
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	74.1	71.9	70.8
EtOH	3.6	5.0	5.4
DEE	21.6	22.9	23.3
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.5	0.2	0.5
Others	0.1	0.0	0.0
EtAc Yield	63	59	57
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	226	226	222
Carbon Balance (mol %)	103	105	105
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	98	101	98
Mass Balance	102	105	104
Water recovered (%)	38	60	63

**TABLE 8**

Run Conditions: (5 Mole % Water + 2 Mole % DEE added to feed) using Catalyst  
3:

Parameters	Run No. 23	Run No. 24
HOS	479.5-500.5	544.5-547.5
Temperature (°C)	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	179.3/186.5/183.4	179.4/187/183.4
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	1001	1001
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	866	866
HAC GHSV/h	64	64
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	50	50
DEE GHSV/h	21	21
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1083	1083
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	170	170
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	40	40
DEE (g/Lcat/h)	71	71
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	3.6	3.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O/DEE mole % ratio	86.5/6.4/5/2.1	86.5/6.4/5/2.1
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O/DEE wt % ratio	79.4/12.5/2.9/5.2	79.4/12.5/2.9/5.2
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.6	13.6

Product Analysis (Table 8 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 23	Run No. 24
Ethylene Conversion	1.4	1.3
HAC Conversion	84	82
Product Selectivity (wt %)		
EtAc	70.6	71.1
EtOH	5.1	5.2
DEE	23.9	23.5
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.3	0.3
Others	0.0	0.0
EtAc Yield	59	58
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	226	224
Carbon Balance (mol %)	107	106
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	103	99
Mass Balance	106	105
Water recovered (%)	61	63

TABLE 9

LIQUID PRODUCT DATA			
GCMS			
180°C, 1000 Kpa (10 barg), ethylene/acetic acid = 14			
Organics (% by Wt)	Run No.		
	7	17	23
Water (mole% in feed)	1	5	5 (+ 2% DEE)
Acetic acid (unreacted feed)	52.3	21.2	16.3
Ethyl acetate	46.5	67.3	69
Diethylether	0.05	3	3.3
Ethanol	0.65	7.8	11
Acetaldehyde	0.002	0.04	0.009
C6 oligomers	0.0015	0	0
C8 oligomers	0.0055	0	0
Miscellaneous oxygenates	0.0065	0	0
Aromatic hydrocarbons	0.045	0	0
Unknowns	0.11	0.01	0
BALANCE :others (Hydrocarbons & oxy compounds)			

**Example 2:****Catalyst Preparations:**

**Catalyst 4.** 12-Tungstophosphoric acid [ $\text{H}_3\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40} \cdot 24\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ] (175 g) was dissolved in distilled water (250 ml). Lithium nitrate [ $\text{LiNO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ] (0.652 g) was dissolved in distilled water (~ 5ml). The lithium nitrate solution was added dropwise to the tungstophosphoric acid solution to form Solution "A".

Solution "A" was added to pelleted silica support (Grace 1371 grade, 1-3 mm, 99.5 g, ex W R Grace) and left to soak over 24 hours with occasional stirring in order to impregnate the silica with the tungstophosphoric acid catalyst. After this duration, excess solution "A" was decanted and filtered off. The resultant catalyst impregnated support was then dried in flowing nitrogen gas initially at 150 °C for 3 hours and then raised to 200°C and maintained at that temperature for 5 hours. The supported catalyst so formed was then left in a desiccator to cool and was finally reweighed. The resultant supported catalyst had a final weight of 164.4 g, a net catalyst loading of 64.9 g and had the formula  $\text{Li}_{0.1}\text{H}_{2.9}\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40} \cdot 24\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$  corresponding to a loading of 255 g/l.

**Catalyst 4.** Pelleted silica support (Grace 1371 grade, 1-3 mm, 70 g, ex W R Grace) was soaked in a solution (250 ml) of 12-tungstosilicic acid [ $\text{H}_4\text{SiW}_{12}\text{O}_{40} \cdot 26\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ] (65.53 g in distilled water) for 24 hours with intermittent stirring in order to impregnate the support with the catalyst. Thereafter the excess solution of the tungstosilicic acid was removed by decantation and filtration. The resultant catalyst impregnated support was then dried overnight under flowing nitrogen at 120°C. The dried supported catalyst so formed was cooled in a desiccator and had a final weight of 86.2g, a net catalyst loading of 16.2 g and had the formula  $\text{H}_4\text{SiW}_{12}\text{O}_{40} \cdot 26\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SiO}_2$  corresponding to a loading of 92 g/l.

The above catalysts were used to esterify ethylene with acetic acid. The relative amounts of each of these catalysts used, their bed size and bed length in performing the esterification reaction were as follows:

Parameter	Catalyst 4	Catalyst 5
Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	25	25
Weight (g)	15.5	11.2-11.4
Pellet size (mm)	1-2	1-2 (Table 11) & 0.5-1 (Tables 12, 13 and 14)

(continued)

Parameter	Catalyst 4	Catalyst 5
Bed length	8.5	8.75

**TABLE 10**  
(Catalyst 4 - 15.5 g)

Run Conditions:

Parameters	Run No. 25	Run No. 26	Run No. 27
HOS	1-3	19-21	25-27
Temperature (°C)	170	170	170
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	173.5/-/170.5	172/-/169	172/-/169
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	979	979	979
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	65	65	65
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	173	173	173
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.4/6.6/1.0	92.4/6.6/1.0	92.4/6.6/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	86.2/13.2/0.6	86.2/13.2/0.6	86.2/13.2/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	14.0	14.0	14.0

Product Analysis (Table 10 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 25	Run No. 26	Run No. 27
HAC Conversion	51	47	45
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	89.7	98.0	97.7
EtOH	0.5	0.5	0.4
DEE	0.9	0.7	1.0
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	7.0	0.4	0.7
Others	1.90	0.49	0.26
EtAc Yield	45	46	44
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	128	122	118
Carbon Balance (mol %)	102	102	105
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	86	89	100
Mass Balance	101	101	104
Water recovered (%)	90	70	81

**TABLE 11**  
(Catalyst 5 - 11.3 g)

Run Conditions:

Parameters	Run No. 28	Run No. 29	Run No. 30
HOS	1-2	17-20	21-24
Temperature (°C)	170	170	170
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	176/-/174	173/-/170	173/-/170
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	66
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	177
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.4/6.6/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	85.9/13.5/0.6	85.9/13.5/0.6	86.2/13.2/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.7

Product Analysis (Table 11 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 28	Run No. 29	Run No. 30
HAC Conversion	62	66	64
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	86.0	97.3	97.6
EtOH	2.4	0.4	0.4
DEE	3.3	1.8	1.4
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	2.4	0.3	0.6
Others	5.9	0.1	0.1
EtAc Yield	53	65	62
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	151	180	171
Carbon Balance (mol %)	101	104	105
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	98	95	99
Mass Balance	101	103	105
Water recovered (%)	70	69	67



**TABLE 12**  
(Catalyst 5 - 11.2 g)

Run Conditions:

Parameters	Run No. 31	Run No. 32	Run No. 33
HOS	19.25-22.5	23-26	43.25-46.25
Temperature (°C)	170	170	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	172.5/176/-	172.5/175.5/-	182/187/-
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	66
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	177
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.7

Product Analysis (Table 12 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 31	Run No. 32	Run No. 33
HAC Conversion	72	72	82
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	96.0	94.4	94.9
EtOH	0.5	0.5	0.7
DEE	2.9	2.7	3.3
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.4	1.6	0.7
Others	0.2	0.7	0.3
EtAc Yield	69	68	78
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	203	194	210
Carbon Balance (mol %)	101	103	108
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	91	97	104
Mass Balance	100	103	108
Water recovered (%)	47	48	48

**TABLE 13**  
(Catalyst 5 - 11.2 g)

Run Conditions:

Parameters	Run No. 34	Run No. 35	Run No. 36
HOS	47-50	67.25-70.25	71-74
Temperature (°C)	180	190	190
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	181.5/186.5/-	191.5/196/-	191.5/195.5/-
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	66
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	177
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.7

Product Analysis (Table 13 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 34	Run No. 35	Run No. 36
HAC Conversion	79	74	72
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	95.2	94.7	94.9
EtOH	0.7	0.7	0.7
DEE	3.2	2.8	2.2
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.6	1.4	1.3
Others	0.2	0.3	0.8
EtAc Yield	75	70	68
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	206	202	191
Carbon Balance (mol %)	105	102	104
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	102	94	99
Mass Balance	105	102	104
Water recovered (%)	47	52	54

**TABLE 14**  
(Catalyst 5 - 11.4 g)

Run Conditions:

Parameters	Run No. 37	Run No. 38	Run No. 39
HOS	19-22	44-47	68.75-71.75
Temperature (°C)	180	180	180
Applied Bed (T/M/B)	181.5/186/182.5	181.5/185/182	181.3/184.5/181.2
Pressure (Kpa)	1000	1000	1000
Total feed GHSV/h (@ STP)	980	980	980
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> GHSV/h	905	905	905
HAC GHSV/h	66	66	66
H <sub>2</sub> O GHSV/h	9	9	9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (g/Lcat/h)	1131	1131	1131
HAC (g/Lcat/h)	177	177	177
H <sub>2</sub> O (g/Lcat/h)	8	8	8
Feed contact time [1/GHSV](secs)	4	4	4
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O mole % ratio	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0	92.3/6.7/1.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC/ H <sub>2</sub> O wt % ratio	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6	86/13.4/0.6
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /HAC mole ratio	13.7	13.7	13.7

Product Analysis (Table 14 continued):

Products/Analysis	Run No. 37	Run No. 38	Run No. 39
HAC Conversion	71	64	60
Product Selectivity (wt %)			
EtAc	95.9	97.2	97.8
EtOH	0.7	0.6	0.5
DEE	2.3	1.8	1.3
Acetaldehyde	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oligomers	0.8	0.3	0.3
Others	0.3	0.1	0.0
EtAc Yield	68	63	59
EtAc STY (g/Lcat/h)	194	183	164
Carbon Balance (mol %)	100	100	100
Oxygen Balance (mol %)	94	89	93
Mass Balance	100	99	99
Water recovered (%)	53	56	62

## Claims

1. A process for the production of lower aliphatic esters said process comprising reacting a lower olefin with a saturated lower aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid in the vapour phase in the presence of a heteropolyacid catalyst characterised in that an amount of water in the range from 1-10 mole % based on the total of the olefin, aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid and water is added to the reaction mixture during the reaction.
2. A process according to Claim 1 wherein the amount of water added is in the range from 1 to 7 mole % based on the total of the olefin, aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid and water.
3. A process according to Claim 1 or 2 wherein the amount of water added is in the range from 1 to 5 mole % based on the total of the olefin, aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid and water.
4. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the heteropolyacid catalyst is supported on a siliceous support which is in the form of extrudates or pellets.
5. A process according to Claim 4 wherein the siliceous support is derived from an amorphous, non-porous synthetic silica.
6. A process according to Claim 4 or 5 wherein the siliceous support is derived from fumed silica produced by flame hydrolysis of  $\text{SiCl}_4$ .
7. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims 4-6 wherein the siliceous supports is Support 350 made by pelletisation of AEROSIL® 200 (both ex Degussa).
8. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims 4-7 wherein the silica support is in the form of pellets or beads or are globular in shape having an average particle diameter in the range from 2 to 10 mm, a pore volume in the range from 0.3-1.2 ml/g, a crush strength of at least 2 Kg force and a bulk density of at least 380 g/l.
9. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims 4-8 wherein the siliceous support has at least 99% w/w purity.
10. A process according to Claim 4 wherein the siliceous support is a pelleted silica support which has an average bulk density of about 0.39 g/ml, an average pore volume of about 1.15 ml/g and an average particle size ranging from about 0.1-3.5 mm.
11. A process according to Claim 10 wherein the pelleted silica support is used as such or after crushing to an average particle size in the range from 0.5-2 mm to support the heteropolyacid catalyst.
12. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the heteropolyacids used to prepare the esterification catalyst is selected from the free acids and co-ordination-type salts thereof in which the anion is a complex, high molecular weight entity and comprises 2-18 oxygen-linked polyvalent metal peripheral atoms surrounding in a symmetrical manner a central atom or ion from Groups I-VIII in the Periodic Table of Elements.
13. A process according to Claim 12 wherein the peripheral atom is one or more of molybdenum, tungsten, vanadium, niobium and tantalum and the central atom or ion is selected from silicon; phosphorus; cupric ions; divalent beryllium, zinc, cobalt or nickel ions; trivalent boron, aluminium, gallium, iron, cerium, arsenic, antimony, phosphorus, bismuth, chromium or rhodium ions; tetravalent silicon, germanium, tin, titanium, zirconium, vanadium, sulphur, tellurium, manganese nickel, platinum, thorium, hafnium, cerium ions and other rare earth ions; pentavalent phosphorus, arsenic, vanadium, antimony ions; hexavalent tellurium ions; and heptavalent iodine ions.
14. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the heteropolyacids have a molecular weight eg in the range from 700-8500 and include dimeric complexes.
15. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the heteropolyacid comprises at least one of the following compounds:

- |    |                                     |   |  |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|    | 12-tungstophosphoric acid           | - | $H_3[PW_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$         |
|    | 12-molybdophosphoric acid           | - | $H_3[PMo_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$        |
| 5  | 12-tungstosilicic acid              | - | $H_4[SiW_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$        |
|    | 12-molybdosilicic acid              | - | $H_4[SiMo_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$       |
|    | Potassium tungstophosphate          | - | $K_6[P_2W_{18}O_{62}] \cdot xH_2O$       |
| 10 | Sodium molybdophosphate             | - | $Na_3[PMo_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$       |
|    | Ammonium molybdodiphosphate         | - | $(NH_4)_6[P_2Mo_{18}O_{62}] \cdot xH_2O$ |
| 15 | Sodium tungstonickelate             | - | $Na_4[NiW_6O_{24}H_6] \cdot xH_2O$       |
|    | Ammonium molybdodicobaltate         | - | $(NH_4)[Co_2Mo_{10}O_{36}] \cdot xH_2O$  |
|    | Cesium hydrogen tungstosilicate     | - | $Cs_3H[SiW_{12}O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$      |
| 20 | Potassium molybdodivanado phosphate | - | $K_5[PMoV_2O_{40}] \cdot xH_2O$          |
16. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims 4-15 wherein the amount of heteropolyacid deposited/impregnated on the support for use in the esterification reaction is in the range from 10 to 60% by weight based on the total weight of the heteropolyacid and the support.
- 25 17. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the olefin reactant used is ethylene, propylene or mixtures thereof.
- 30 18. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the saturated, lower aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid reactant is a C1-C4 carboxylic acid.
19. A process according to Claim any one of the preceding Claims wherein the aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid reactant is acetic acid.
- 35 20. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the reaction mixture has a molar excess of the olefin reactant with respect to the aliphatic mono-carboxylic acid reactant.
21. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the mole ratio of olefin to the lower carboxylic acid in the reaction mixture is in the range from 1:1 to 15:1.
- 40 22. A process according to Claim 18 wherein the mole ratio of olefin to the lower carboxylic acid in the reaction mixture is in the range from 10:1 to 14:1.
23. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the reaction is carried out in the vapour phase suitably above the dew point of the reactor contents comprising the reactant acid, any alcohol formed *in situ*, the product ester and water.
- 45 24. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the supported heteropolyacid catalyst is used as a fixed bed which may be in the form of a packed column.
- 50 25. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the heteropolyacid catalyst is further modified by the addition of phosphoric acid or other mineral acids thereto.
26. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the vapours of the reactant olefins and acids are passed over the catalyst at a GHSV in the range of 100 to 5000 per hour.
- 55 27. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the esterification reaction is carried out at a temperature in the range from 150-200°C using a reaction pressure which is at least 400KPa.

28. A process according to any one of the preceding Claims wherein the reaction mixture is dosed with a di-ether amount of a di-ether co-fed is suitably in the range from 1 to 6 mole % based on the total reaction mixture comprising the olefin, the aliphatic carboxylic acid, water and di-ether.
- 5 29. A process according to Claim 28 wherein the di-ether corresponds to the by-product di-ether formed *in situ* during the reaction from the reactant olefin which is recovered and is recycled to the reaction mixture.
30. A process according Claim 28 or 29 wherein the di-ether is diethyl ether.
- 10 31. A process according to Claim 28 or 29 wherein the di-ether is an unsymmetrical ether.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55



European Patent  
Office

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 96 30 5369

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.6)
X	EP-A-0 562 139 (SHOWA DENKO KABUSHIKI KAISHA)  * page 2, line 30 - page 3, line 15 * * page 4 - page 7; examples 7-14 * * page 10 - page 18; examples 28-46 * * page 18 - page 19; claims * ---	1-4, 12-24, 26,27	C07C67/04 C07C69/14
X	EP-A-0 538 826 (MITSUI TOATSU CHEMICALS INC.)  * page 3, line 33 - page 5, line 9 * * page 7, line 16 - line 44 * * page 12; examples 21-24 * * page 15; examples 43-46 * * page 20; claims * -----	1-3, 12-15, 17-23,27	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.6)
			C07C
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 24 October 1996	Examiner Kinzinger, J
<p><b>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons &amp; : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPF FORM 150 (3.82) (P06011)